

## Irreducible representations of $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]$

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2001 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 7881

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/34/38/312>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.98

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 09:18

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

# Irreducible representations of $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]$

Nguyen Anh Ky

Institute of Physics, PO Box 429, Bo Ho, Hanoi 10000, Vietnam

Received 18 April 2001

Published 14 September 2001

Online at [stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/34/7881](http://stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/34/7881)

## Abstract

The two-parametric quantum superalgebra  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]$  and its representations are considered. All finite-dimensional irreducible representations of this quantum superalgebra can be constructed and classified into typical and non-typical ones according to a proposition proved in the present paper. This proposition is a non-trivial deformation from the one for the classical superalgebra  $gl(2/2)$ , unlike the case of one-parametric deformations.

PACS numbers: 02.20.Tw, 11.30.Pb

Mathematics Subject Classification: 81R50, 17A70

## 1. Introduction

The quantum groups [1–6] were introduced in the 80s as a result of the study on quantum integrable systems and Yang–Baxter equations (YBEs) [7]. At first sight, it turns out that they are related to unrelated areas of both physics and mathematics and, therefore, have been intensively investigated in various aspects, including their applications (see references [1–15] and references therein). For applications of quantum groups, as in the non-deformed cases, we often need their explicit representations, in particular, the finite dimensional ones which in many cases are connected with rational and trigonometric solutions of the quantum YBEs [1–8]. However, in spite of the effort and remarkable results in this direction, the problem of investigating and constructing explicit representations of quantum groups, especially those for quantum superalgebras, is still far from being satisfactorily solved. Even in the case of one-parametric quantum superalgebras, explicit representations are mainly known for quantum Lie superalgebras of lower ranks and of particular types like  $U_q[osp(1/2)]$  and  $U_q[gl(1/n)]$  (references [15–17]). So far, finite-dimensional representations of some bigger quantum superalgebras such as  $U_q[osp(1/2n)]$  and  $U_q[gl(m/n)]$  with  $m, n > 2$  have been considered but have not been explicitly constructed (see, for example, [18, 19]). At the moment, detailed results in this aspect are known only for the cases with both  $m, n \leq 2$  considered in [15, 20, 21], while for  $U_q[gl(m/n)]$  with arbitrary  $m$  and  $n$  not all finite-dimensional representations but only a, although big, class of representations called essentially typical is known [22].

As far as the multi-parametric deformations (first considered in [3]) are concerned, this area is even less covered and results are much poorer. Some types of two-parametric

deformations have been considered by a number of authors from different points of view (see [23, 24] and references therein) but, to our knowledge, explicit representations are known and/or classified in a few lower rank cases such as  $U_{p,q}[sl(2/1)]$  and  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/1)]$  only [23, 25]. The latter two-parametric quantum superalgebra  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/1)]$  was consistently introduced and investigated in [23] where all its finite-dimensional irreducible representations were explicitly constructed and classified at generic deformation parameters. This  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/1)]$ , however, is still a small quantum superalgebra which can be defined without the so-called extra-Serre defining relations [26–28] representing additional constraints on odd Chevalley generators in higher rank cases. In order to include the extra-Serre relations on examination we introduced and considered a bigger two-parametric quantum superalgebra, namely  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]$  and its representations [24, 29]. Our other motivation for considering this quantum superalgebra is that already in the non-deformed case, the superalgebras  $gl(n/n)$ , especially their subalgebras  $sl(n/n)$  and  $psl(n/n)$ , have special properties (in comparison with other  $gl(m/n)$ ,  $m \neq n$ ) and, therefore, attract interest [30–32]. Additionally, structures of two-parameter deformations investigated in [23, 24, 29] and here are, of course, richer than those of one-parameter deformations. Every deformation parameter can be independently chosen to take a separate generic value (including zero) or to be a root of unity.

Combining the advantages of the previously developed methods [20, 21, 23] for  $U_q[gl(2/2)]$  and  $U_{p,q}[gl(1/2)]$ , we described in [24] how to construct finite-dimensional representations of the two-parametric quantum Lie superalgebra  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]$ . In this paper we consider when these representations constructed are irreducible. It turns out that they can be classified again into typical and non-typical representations which, even at generic deformation parameters, however, are non-trivial deformations from the classical analogues [33], unlike many cases of one-parametric deformations.

## 2. The quantum superalgebra $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]$

The quantum superalgebra  $U_{p,q} \equiv U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]$ , as a two-parametric deformation of the universal enveloping algebra  $U[gl(2/2)]$  of the Lie superalgebra  $gl(2/2)$ , can be completely generated by the operators  $L_k, E_{12}, E_{23}, E_{34}, E_{21}, E_{32}, E_{43}$  and  $E_{ii}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ) again called Cartan–Chevalley generators subject to the following (defining) relations [24, 29]:

(a) *Super-commutation relations* ( $1 \leq i, i+1, j, j+1 \leq 4$ ):

$$[E_{ii}, E_{jj}] = 0 \quad (1a)$$

$$[E_{ii}, E_{j,j+1}] = (\delta_{ij} - \delta_{i,j+1})E_{j,j+1} \quad (1b)$$

$$[E_{ii}, E_{j+1,j}] = (\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{ij})E_{j+1,j} \quad (1c)$$

$$[\text{even generator}, L_k] = 0, k = 1, 2, 3 \quad (1d)$$

$$[E_{i,i+1}, E_{j+1,j}] = \delta_{ij} \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{L_i - H_i(1+\delta_{i2})/2} [H_i] \quad (1e)$$

(b) *Serre relations*:

$$[E_{12}, E_{34}] = [E_{21}, E_{43}] = 0 \quad (2a)$$

$$E_{23}^2 = E_{32}^2 = 0 \quad (2b)$$

$$[E_{12}, E_{13}]_p = [E_{21}, E_{31}]_q = [E_{24}, E_{34}]_q = [E_{42}, E_{43}]_p = 0 \quad (2c)$$

(c) *Extra-Serre relations*:

$$\{E_{13}, E_{24}\} = 0 \quad (3a)$$

$$\{E_{31}, E_{42}\} = 0 \quad (3b)$$

where  $H_i \equiv (E_{ii} - \frac{d_{i+1}}{d_i} E_{i+1,i+1})$ ,  $d_1 = d_2 = -d_3 = -d_4 = 1$ ,  $L_1 \equiv L_l$ ,  $L_2 \equiv 0$ ,  $L_3 \equiv L_r$  (with  $L_l$  and  $L_r$  explained later),  $[x] \equiv (q^x - p^{-x})/(q - p^{-1})$  is a so-called  $pq$ -deformation of  $x$  being a number or an operator and, finally,  $[\ , \ ]$  is a notation for the supercommutators. Here, the operators

$$E_{13} := [E_{12}, E_{23}]_{q^{-1}} \tag{4a}$$

$$E_{24} := [E_{23}, E_{34}]_{p^{-1}} \tag{4b}$$

$$E_{31} := -[E_{21}, E_{32}]_{p^{-1}} \tag{4c}$$

$$E_{42} := -[E_{32}, E_{43}]_{q^{-1}} \tag{4d}$$

and the operators composed in the following way:

$$E_{14} := [E_{12}, [E_{23}, E_{34}]_{p^{-1}}]_{q^{-1}} \equiv [E_{12}, E_{24}]_{q^{-1}} \tag{5a}$$

$$E_{41} := [E_{21}, [E_{32}, E_{43}]_{q^{-1}}]_{p^{-1}} \equiv -[E_{21}, E_{42}]_{p^{-1}} \tag{5b}$$

are defined as new generators, where  $[A, B]_r = AB - rBA$ . These generators, like  $E_{23}$  and  $E_{32}$ , are all odd and have vanishing squares. The generators  $E_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ , are two-parametric deformation analogues ( $pq$ -analogues) of the Weyl generators  $e_{ij}$  of the superalgebra  $gl(2/2)$  whose universal enveloping algebra  $U[gl(2/2)]$  is a classical limit of  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]$  when  $p, q \rightarrow 1$ . The so-called maximal-spin operator  $L_l$  (or  $L_r$ ) is a constant within a finite-dimensional irreducible module (*fidirmod*) of a  $U_{p,q}[gl(2)]$  (defined below) and are different for different  $U_{p,q}[gl(2)]$ -fidirmods. Therefore, commutators between these operators with the odd generators intertwining  $U_{p,q}[gl(2)]$ -fidirmods take concrete forms on concrete basis vectors. Other commutation relations between  $E_{ij}$  follow from the relations (1)–(3) and the definitions (4) and (5).

### 3. Representations of $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]$

The subalgebra  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)_0] (\subset U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]_0 \subset U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)])$  is even and isomorphic to  $U_{p,q}[gl(2) \oplus gl(2)] \equiv U_{p,q}[gl(2)] \oplus U_{p,q}[gl(2)]$ , which can be completely generated by  $L_1, L_3, E_{12}, E_{34}, E_{21}, E_{43}$  and  $E_{ii}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ,

$$U_{pq}[gl(2/2)_0] = \text{lin. env.}\{L_1, L_3, E_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, 2 \text{ and } i, j = 3, 4\}. \tag{6}$$

In order to distinguish two components  $U_{p,q}[gl(2)]$  of  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)_0]$  we set

$$\text{left } U_{p,q}[gl(2)] \equiv U_{p,q}[gl(2)]_l := \text{lin. env.}\{L_1, E_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, 2\} \tag{7}$$

$$\text{right } U_{p,q}[gl(2)] \equiv U_{p,q}[gl(2)]_r := \text{lin. env.}\{L_3, E_{ij} \mid i, j = 3, 4\} \tag{8}$$

that is

$$U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)_0] = U_{p,q}[gl(2)]_l \oplus U_{p,q}[gl(2)]_r. \tag{9}$$

We see that each of the odd spaces  $A_+$  and  $A_-$  spanned on the positive and negative odd roots (generators)  $E_{ij}$  and  $E_{ji}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2 < j \leq 4$ , respectively

$$A_+ = \text{lin. env.}\{E_{14}, E_{13}, E_{24}, E_{23}\} \tag{10}$$

$$A_- = \text{lin. env.}\{E_{41}, E_{31}, E_{42}, E_{32}\} \tag{11}$$

is a representation space of the even subalgebra  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)_0]$  which, as seen from (1) and (2), is a stability subalgebra of  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]$ . Therefore, we can construct representations of  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]$  induced from some (finite-dimensional irreducible, for example) representations of  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)_0]$ , which are realized in some representation spaces

(modules)  $V_0^{p,q}$  being tensor products of  $U_{p,q}[gl(2)_l]$ -modules  $V_{0,l}^{p,q}$  and  $U_{p,q}[gl(2)_r]$ -modules  $V_{0,r}^{p,q}$

$$V_0^{p,q}(\Lambda) = V_{0,l}^{p,q}(\Lambda_l) \otimes V_{0,r}^{p,q}(\Lambda_r) \tag{12}$$

where  $\Lambda$ 's are some signatures (such as highest weights, respectively) characterizing the modules (highest weight modules, respectively). Here  $\Lambda_l$  and  $\Lambda_r$  are referred to as the left and the right components of  $\Lambda$ , respectively,

$$\Lambda = [\Lambda_l, \Lambda_r]. \tag{13}$$

If we demand

$$E_{23}V_0^{p,q}(\Lambda) = 0 \tag{14}$$

hence

$$U_{p,q}(A_+)V_0^{p,q} = 0 \tag{15}$$

we turn the  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)_0]$ -module  $V_0^{p,q}$  into a  $U_{p,q}(B)$ -module where

$$B = A_+ \oplus gl(2) \oplus gl(2). \tag{16}$$

The  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]$ -module  $W^{p,q}$  induced from the  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)_0]$ -module  $V_0^{p,q}$  is the factor space

$$W^{p,q} = W^{p,q}(\Lambda) = [U_{p,q} \otimes V_0^{p,q}(\Lambda)] / I^{p,q}(\Lambda) \tag{17}$$

which, of course, depends on  $\Lambda$ , where

$$U_{p,q} \equiv U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)] \tag{18}$$

while  $I^{p,q}$  is the subspace

$$I^{p,q} = \text{lin. env.} \{ub \otimes v - u \otimes bv \mid u \in U_{p,q}, b \in U_{p,q}(B) \subset U_{p,q}, v \in V_0^{p,q}\}. \tag{19}$$

Using the commutation relations (1)–(3) and the definitions (4) and (5) we can prove the analogue of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem. Consequently, a basis of  $W^{p,q}$  can be constituted by taking all the vectors of the form

$$|\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4; (\lambda)\rangle := (E_{41})^{\theta_1} (E_{31})^{\theta_2} (E_{42})^{\theta_3} (E_{32})^{\theta_4} \otimes (\lambda) \quad \theta_i = 0, 1 \tag{20}$$

where  $(\lambda)$  is a (Gel'fand–Zetlin, for example) basis of  $V_0^{p,q} \equiv V_0^{p,q}(\Lambda)$ . This basis of  $W^{p,q}$  called the induced  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]$ -basis (or simply, the induced basis), however, is not convenient for investigating the module structure of  $W^{p,q}$ . It was the reason the so-called reduced basis was introduced [24]. It is obvious that if the module  $V_0^{p,q}$  is finite-dimensional so is the module  $W^{p,q}$ . In this case  $W^{p,q}$  can be characterized by a signature  $[m]$  and is decomposed into a direct sum of (16, at most)  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)_0]$ -fidirmod's  $V_k^{p,q}$  of signatures  $[m]_k$ :

$$W^{p,q}([m]) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{15} V_k^{p,q}([m]_k). \tag{21}$$

Thus, the reduced basis of  $W^{p,q}$  is a union of the bases of all  $V_k^{p,q}$ 's which can be presented by the quasi-Gel'fand–Zetlin patterns [24], corresponding to the branching rule  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)] \supset U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)_0] \supset U_{p,q}[gl(1) \otimes gl(1)]$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_{13} & m_{23} & m_{33} & m_{43} \\ m_{12} & m_{22} & m_{32} & m_{42} \\ m_{11} & 0 & m_{31} & 0 \end{bmatrix}_k \equiv (m)_k \quad 0 \leq k \leq 15 \tag{22}$$

where  $m_{ij}$  are complex numbers such that  $m_{i2} - m_{i1} \in \mathbf{Z}^+, m_{i1} - m_{i+1,2} \in \mathbf{Z}^+, m_{i3} - m_{i+1,3} \in \mathbf{Z}^+, i = 1, 3$ . The second row  $[m_{12}, m_{22}, m_{32}, m_{42}]$  in (22) is fixed for a given  $k$ , as for  $k = 0$  it takes the value of the first row  $[m_{13}, m_{23}, m_{33}, m_{43}]$  which is fixed for all  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 15$ . Now, a signature  $[m]_k$  of a  $V_k^{p,q}$  is identified with a second row,

$$[m]_k \equiv [m_{12}, m_{22}, m_{32}, m_{42}]$$

while the signature  $[m]$  single in the whole  $W^{p,q}$  (i.e., the same for all  $V_k^{p,q}$ 's) is identified with the first row,

$$[m] \equiv [m_{13}, m_{23}, m_{33}, m_{43}].$$

The actions of the generators  $E_{ij}$  on the basis (22) are given in [24] or can be calculated by using the method explained there. The basis vector (22) with  $m_{11} = m_{12}$  and  $m_{31} = m_{32}$

$$(M)_k = \begin{bmatrix} m_{13} & m_{23} & m_{33} & m_{43} \\ m_{12} & m_{22} & m_{32} & m_{42} \\ m_{12} & 0 & m_{32} & 0 \end{bmatrix}_k \tag{23}$$

annihilated by  $E_{12}$  and  $E_{34}$  is, by definition, the highest weight vector of the submodule  $V_k^{p,q}([m]_k)$ . For  $k = 0$  the highest weight vector of the submodule  $V_0^{p,q}([m])$

$$(M)_0 \equiv (M) = \begin{bmatrix} m_{13} & m_{23} & m_{33} & m_{43} \\ m_{13} & m_{23} & m_{33} & m_{43} \\ m_{13} & 0 & m_{33} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{24}$$

is, in addition, also annihilated by the odd generator  $E_{23}$  and, therefore, simultaneously represents the highest weight vector of both  $V_0^{p,q}([m])$  and  $W^{p,q}([m])$ . A monomial of the form

$$|\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4\rangle := (E_{41})^{\theta_1} (E_{31})^{\theta_2} (E_{42})^{\theta_3} (E_{32})^{\theta_4} \quad \theta_i = 0, 1 \tag{25}$$

would shift a subspace  $V_k^{p,q}$  to another subspace  $V_l^{p,q}$  with  $l > k$ . So here we would call the former a higher (weight) subspace with respect to the latter called a lower (weight) subspace.

**Proposition:** *The induced module  $W^{p,q}[m]$  constructed is irreducible if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ h_2^0 \right] \left[ h_1^0 + h_2^0 + 1 \right] \left\{ -\frac{q}{p} \left[ h_2^0 - 1 \right] \left[ h_3^0 + 1 \right] + \left[ h_2^0 \right] \left[ h_3^0 \right] \right\} \left\{ -q^{-h_2^0+1} p^{-h_3^0-1} \left[ h_1^0 + 1 \right] \right. \\ & \quad - q^{h_1^0} \left( \frac{q}{p} \right)^{-h_2^0+1} \left[ h_2^0 - 1 \right] \left[ h_3^0 + 1 \right] + q^{h_1^0} \left( \frac{q}{p} \right)^{-h_2^0} \left[ h_2^0 \right] \left[ h_3^0 \right] \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{q}{p} \left( -q^{-h_2^0} + q^{-h_2^0-2} \right) \left[ h_3^0 \right] \left( q^{h_1^0+1} + \frac{q^2}{p^2} \left[ h_1^0 \right] \right) \right\} \neq 0 \tag{26} \end{aligned}$$

where  $h_1^0 = m_{13} - m_{23}, h_2^0 = m_{23} + m_{33}, h_3^0 = m_{33} - m_{43}$ .

The irreducible module  $W^{p,q}$  constructed with keeping the condition (26) valid is called typical, otherwise, we say it is an indecomposable module. In the latter case, however, there always exists a maximal invariant submodule  $I_h^{p,q}$  (of class  $h, h = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of  $W^{p,q}$  and the compliment to  $I_h^{p,q}$  subspace of  $W^{p,q}$  is not invariant under  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]$  transformations. The representation carried in the factor module  $W^{p,q}/I_h^{p,q}$  is irreducible and called a non-typical representation of  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]$ . It can be shown that these typical and non-typical representations contain all classes of finite-dimensional irreducible representations of  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]$ .

As every subspace  $V_k^{p,q}, k = 0, 1, \dots, 15$ , is close and already irreducible under the even subalgebra  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)_0]$ , to see if  $W^{p,q}$  is an irreducible module of  $U_{p,q}$  it remains to

consider the action of its odd generators only. By construction (see equations (17)–(21)) the module  $W^{p,q}$  is at least indecomposable since any its subspace  $V_k^{p,q}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq 15$ , including the lowest one  $V_{15}^{p,q}$ , can be always reached from higher subspaces  $V_l^{p,q}$ ,  $0 \leq l < k$ , including the highest one  $V_0^{p,q}$ , acted by the monomials  $|\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4\rangle$  given in (25). Contrarily, the monomials

$$\langle \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4 | := (E_{14})^{\theta_1} (E_{13})^{\theta_2} (E_{24})^{\theta_3} (E_{23})^{\theta_4} \quad (27)$$

send us to the opposite direction: from lower subspaces to higher ones. Thus, the module  $W^{p,q}$  is irreducible if and only if  $V_0^{p,q}$  is reachable from the lowest subspace  $V_{15}^{p,q}$  under the action of the operators (27). The most optimal way to see that is to act on a vector of the subspace  $V_{15}^{p,q}$  by the monomial  $E_{14}E_{13}E_{24}E_{23}$ , i.e., the monomial (27) with all  $\theta_i$ 's = 1 but not less (an action of a shorter monomial on  $V_{15}^{p,q}$  should not reach  $V_0^{p,q}$ ). Since  $V_{15}^{p,q}$  is an irreducible module of  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)_0]$ , it is simplest but enough to consider when the highest weight vector  $E_{41}E_{31}E_{42}E_{32}(M)$  of  $V_{15}^{p,q}$  under the action of  $E_{14}E_{13}E_{24}E_{23}$  reaches (or we can say, returns to)  $V_0^{p,q}$ . In other words, the module  $W^{p,q}$  is irreducible if and only if the condition

$$E_{23}E_{24}E_{13}E_{14}E_{41}E_{31}E_{42}E_{32}(M) \neq 0 \quad (28)$$

holds. This condition in turn can be proved (for  $p, q \neq 0$ ) to be equivalent to the condition

$$\begin{aligned} [H_2][H_1 + H_2 + 1] \left\{ -\frac{q}{p}[H_2 - 1][H_3 + 1] + [H_2][H_3] \right\} \left\{ -q^{-H_2+1} p^{-H_3-1} [H_1 + 1] \right. \\ \left. - q^{H_1} \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{-H_2+1} [H_2 - 1][H_3 + 1] + q^{H_1} \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{-H_2} [H_2][H_3] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{q}{p} (-q^{-H_2} + q^{-H_2-2}) [H_3] \left( q^{H_1+1} + \frac{q^2}{p^2} [H_1] \right) \right\} (M) \neq 0 \quad (29) \end{aligned}$$

which is nothing but (26) with  $h_i^0$  being eigenvalues of  $H_i$  on the highest weight vector  $(M)$ . The proposition is, thus, proved.

#### 4. Conclusion

The two-parametric quantum superalgebra  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]$  was introduced in [24, 29]. Its representations constructed by the method described in [24] are either irreducible (when the condition (26) is kept) or indecomposable (when the condition (26) is violated). The irreducible representations in the former case are called typical. In the case of indecomposable representations, however, irreducible representations can always be extracted. One such irreducible representation called non-typical is simply a factor representation in a factor subspace of the original indecomposable module factorized by its maximal invariant subspace. All the typical and non-typical representations are constructed in such a way that they contain all classes of finite-dimensional irreducible representations of  $U_{p,q}[gl(2/2)]$ . In conclusion, let us emphasize that the condition (26) and the representations become more interesting at roots of unity but they, even at generic deformation parameters, are non-trivial deformations from the classical analogues [33] in the sense that the former cannot be found from the latter by replacing in appropriate places the ordinary brackets with the quantum deformation ones, unlike many one-parametric cases. We hope that the present results (and also previous ones [20–24, 33]) are physically useful and can be applied to investigating different physics models such as conformal field theory models [30–32] and solvable models of correlated electrons (see for example [34–36] and references therein).

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the National Research Programme for Natural Sciences of Vietnam under Grant number KT-04.1.2.

## References

- [1] Faddeev L D, Reshetikhin N Yu and Takhtajan L A 1987 *Algebra Anal.* **1** 178
- [2] Drinfel'd V D 1987 Quantum groups *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians Berkeley 1986* vol 1 (Providence, RI: The American Mathematical Society) pp 798–820
- [3] Manin Yu I 1988 *Quantum Groups and Non-Commutative Geometry* (Montréal: Centre des Recherchers Mathématiques)
- [4] Manin Yu I 1991 *Topics in Non-Commutative Geometry* (NJ: Princeton University Press, Princeton)
- [5] Jimbo M 1985 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **10** 63  
Jimbo M 1986 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **11** 247
- [6] Woronowicz S I 1987 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **111** 613
- [7] Jimbo M ed 1989 *Yang–Baxter Equation in Integrable Systems* (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [8] Gómez C, Ruiz-Altaba M and Sierra G 1996 *Quantum Groups in Two-Dimensional Physics* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [9] Majid S 1995 *Foundation of Quantum Group Theory* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [10] Chari V and Pressley A 1994 *A Guide to Quantum Groups* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [11] Kassel Ch 1995 *Quantum groups* (New York: Springer-Verlag)
- [12] Yang C N and Ge M L ed 1989 *Braid Groups, Knot Theory and Statistical Mechanics* (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [13] Doebner H D and Hennig J D ed 1990 *Quantum Groups (Lecture Notes in Physics vol 370)* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)
- [14] Kulish P P ed 1992 *Quantum Groups (Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol 1510)* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)
- [15] Nguyen Anh Ky 1998 *Preprint* math.QA/9810170 and references therein
- [16] Celeghini E, Palev Tch and Tarlini M 1991 *Mod. Phys. Lett. B* **5** 187
- [17] Palev Tch D and Tolstoy V N 1991 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **141** 549
- [18] Zhang R B 1992 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **25** 317
- [19] Zhang R B 1993 *J. Math. Phys.* **34** 1236
- [20] Nguyen Anh Ky 1994 *J. Math. Phys.* **35** 2583  
(Nguyen Anh Ky 1993 *Preprint* hep-th/9305183)
- [21] Nguyen Anh Ky and Stoilova N 1995 *J. Math. Phys.* **36** 5979  
(Nguyen Anh Ky and Stoilova N 1994 *Preprint* hep-th/9411098)
- [22] Palev T D, Stoilova N I and Van der Jeugt J 1994 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **166** 367
- [23] Nguyen Anh Ky 1996 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **29** 1541  
(Nguyen Anh Ky 1999 *Preprint* math.QA/9909067)
- [24] Nguyen Anh Ky 2000 *J. Math. Phys.* **41** 6487  
(Nguyen Anh Ky 2000 *Preprint* math.QA/0005122)
- [25] Zhang R 1994 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23** 817
- [26] Floreanini R, Leites D and Vinet L 1991 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **23** 127
- [27] Scheunert M 1992 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **24** 173
- [28] Khoroshkin S M and Tolstoy V N 1991 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **141** 599
- [29] Anh Ky Nguyen 1998 On the algebraic relation between one-parametric and multi-parametric quantum superalgebras *Proceedings of the 22th National Workshop on Theoretical Physics (Doson, 3–5 August 1997)* (Hanoi: Institute of Physics) pp 24–8
- [30] Berkovits N, Vafa C and Witten E 1999 *J. High Energy Phys.* JHEP03(1999)018  
(Berkovits N, Vafa C and Witten E 1999 *Preprint* hep-th/9902098)
- [31] Bershadsky M, Zhukov S and Vaintrob A 1999 *Nucl. Phys. B* **559** 205  
(Bershadsky M, Zhukov S and Vaintrob A 1999 *Preprint* hep-th/9902180)
- [32] Guruswamy S, LeClair A and Ludwig A W W 2000 *Nucl. Phys. B* **583** 475  
(Guruswamy S, LeClair A and Ludwig A W W 1999 *Preprint* cond-mat/9909143)
- [33] Kamupingene A H, Anh Ky Nguyen and Palev Tch D 1989 *J. Math. Phys.* **30** 553
- [34] Bracken A J, Gould M D and Links J R 1994 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74** 2768
- [35] Gould M D, Hibberd K E and Links J R 1996 *Phys. Lett. A* **212** 156  
(Gould M D, Hibberd K E and Links J R 1995 *Preprint* cond-mat/9506119)
- [36] Kümper A and Sakai K 2001 *Preprint* cond-mat/0105416